

# PRV

PATENT- OCH REGISTRERINGSVERKET  
Patentavdelningen

## Intyg Certificate

*Härmed intygas att bifogade kopior överensstämmer med de handlingar som ursprungligen ingivits till Patent- och registreringsverket i nedannämnda ansökan.*

*This is to certify that the annexed is a true copy of the documents as originally filed with the Patent- and Registration Office in connection with the following patent application.*


(71) *Sökande*                      *Operax AB, Luleå SE*  
*Applicant (s)*

(21) *Patentansökningsnummer*    *0203872-7*  
*Patent application number*

(86) *Ingivningsdatum*                      *2002-12-20*  
*Date of filing*

*Stockholm, 2003-10-20*

*För Patent- och registreringsverket*  
*For the Patent- and Registration Office*



*Hjärdís Segerlund*

*Avgift*  
*Fee*                      *170:-*

Method and arrangement in a communication system

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method for making admission control according to the preamble of claim 1. Furthermore it relates to a node in a network comprising software for performing admission control according to the preamble of claim 16.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In recent years, asynchronous networks such as Internet Protocol (IP) and Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) have become tremendously popular. These networks interconnect various lower layer networks (e.g., Ethernet, SONET, SDH, ADSL, etc.) to provide connectivity between end-points. In particular, the Internet has evolved to a global multi service network through which a great span of different applications communicate (e.g., web browsing, email, telephony, media streaming, and video conferencing).

In asynchronous networks, differentiated forwarding services can be created. While ATM offers multiple forwarding services (e.g., available bit-rate (ABR), constant bit-rate (CBR), etc.), IP networks has traditionally only offered one forwarding service (i.e., the best-effort service). In recent years, formal support for differentiated services has been added to the Internet architecture and Internet Service Providers (ISPs) can thereby configure their IP networks for multiple services.

Configuring an asynchronous network for multiple services, of which some offer guarantees or assurances on the forwarding quality given to users, means to divide forwarding resources such as buffer capacity and forwarding capacity into different classes. We refer to both buffer capacity and forwarding capacity as forwarding resources. Then, the admission to classes that will carry traffic for which guarantees or assurances shall be given needs to be controlled. We refer to this as admission control.

Note that admission control can be used also to maintain guarantees or assurances in single service networks.

The admission control mechanism can be distributed in network nodes, or centralized in one or more servers or dedicated computers. All these different possibilities are in this text called a node. When it is referred to a node in this text it is thus meant to include both network nodes and servers and dedicated computers. When distributed, the admission control can be invoked by e.g. a signalling protocol. The location of the admission control mechanism does not directly influence the forwarding quality guaranteed or assured for the service in question. Instead the location may affect scalability, performance, etc.

For asynchronous networks, high utilisation of forwarding resources can be achieved through statistical multiplexing. Then, for services offering guarantees or assurances on forwarding quality, the amount of traffic at individual links needs to be carefully controlled.

Knowing the peak-rates of Application Data Flows, ADFs, deterministic forwarding guarantees can be offered through admission control (i.e., sources make an admission request through the network, or to an admission control server before sending any traffic). A peak-rate is the maximum rate at which an ADF can send traffic in a given time interval, see fig. 1. The average-rate is often calculated over a long time interval, while peak-rate should be calculated over a much shorter time interval.

Unfortunately, offering deterministic guarantees results in low network utilization when ADFs have varying sending rates (e.g., video coders such as ITU-T H.263 produces varying amounts of data depending on movements in the encoded picture). For ADFs having varying sending rates, network utilization can be improved through statistical multiplexing. Several independent flows sharing a common resource are said to benefit from statistical multiplexing if the sum of their peak rates can exceed the total link bandwidth without resulting in quality degradation. This is based on the

assumption that the flows send at their peak-rates independently of each other and therefore distributed over time.

To improve network utilization through statistical multiplexing, the sum of peak-rates for ADFs sharing a common link must exceed that link's forwarding capacity, or the portion of that link's capacity allocated for these ADFs. Note, however, that the sum of these ADFs average rates must not exceed that capacity. Then, the link will be overloaded and no forwarding guarantees can be offered.

Since the sum of peak-rates can exceed the (allocated) link capacity, offering deterministic guarantees is not possible. Statistical guarantees on that e.g. the loss-rate at such a link does not exceed a pre-defined value can however be offered. Then, statistical properties of each individual ADF, or the aggregate of all ADFs must be known to calculate the risk of violating the statistical guarantee when accepting an additional ADF for the link in question.

Statistical properties of ADFs might be known beforehand (e.g., they can be given from the definition of the speech codec used), or they can be estimated through measurements. The statistical properties for traffic of e.g. IP telephony applications can be reasonably predictable and the risk of violating the statistical guarantee in question can thus be calculated without measuring these properties.

The statistical properties of ADFs can however be very unpredictable (e.g., for video conference applications the statistical properties of their traffic depends on movements of people participating in the conference). For such applications, measuring these properties is preferable.

The information on statistical properties needs to be accurate for very short time-scales to be used in the mathematical methods used to calculate the risk of violating given guarantees. This means that measurement-based admission control for statistically

guaranteed services requires network nodes to perform operations with high time complexity (i.e., processing intensive operations).

Another approach to offer assurances on forwarding quality while allowing for statistical multiplexing is to make admission control decisions using a forwarding resource threshold for each link in a network. That is, a maximum amount of forwarding resources requested from ADFs for each such link, e.g. a maximum sum of ADF bit-rates for each such link. We refer to this threshold as the provisioning level. In the claims it is however called threshold.

The sum of accepted forwarding resources for ADFs plus the forwarding resource of the request to be evaluated can be compared with each link's provisioning level to decide whether one or more of these levels is exceeded or not. An advantage with this approach is that support for advance reservations easily can be included. Such a threshold based admission control is described in "Quality of Service Agents in the Internet. Ph.D. thesis Olov Schelén, August 1998. ISSN 1402-1544. ISRN LTU-DT-98/26-SE". IQ-Man™ described in this thesis take admission decisions using separate provisioning level for each link in a network.

A problem in this system is that the links are not fully utilised when aggregates of ADFs having different peak and average rates use the links.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the invention is to provide a method for admission control where the forwarding capacity on the links are highly utilised through statistical multiplexing while offering an assurance on forwarding quality.

A further object of the invention is to limit the amount of measurements especially in the network nodes.

These objects are achieved by a method and a node as defined in the independent claims.

Hereby the provisioning levels, or thresholds as they are called in the claims, are chosen to allow for correct number of ADFs to maintain target assurances on forwarding quality (e.g., less than one percent packet loss measured over two minutes). The multiplexing properties of the ADFs are utilised to set the level of the threshold. Hereby the forwarding capacity on the link can be better utilised.

Preferred embodiments are described in the dependent claims.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 shows a diagram of peak rate and average rate.

Fig. 2 is a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link.

Fig. 3 is a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link.

Fig. 4 is a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link.

Fig. 5 shows a node according to a first embodiment of the invention.

Fig. 6 shows a node according to a second embodiment of the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

Assurances on forwarding quality can be offered through provisioning level based admission control. However, to benefit from multiplexing gains, the admission control must allow for limited overbooking (i.e., the sum of all accepted ADFs' peak-rates must be allowed to exceed the allocated link capacities). The problem is how to select

levels of overbooking for each link in a network. These levels must support target quality assurances while allowing high degrees of statistical multiplexing. The level of overbooking is determined from the sum of all accepted ADFs' peak-rates and the provisional level used.

The level of overbooking for a particular link is determined by a number of parameters; the link speed, its buffer capacity or acceptable delay, acceptable loss-rate, multiplexing properties of present ADFs, and the mix of ADFs with different multiplexing properties (i.e., the traffic mix). While link properties and parameters defining the target forwarding quality are known beforehand, multiplexing properties of different ADFs need to be estimated or measured.

The invention presented in this application is a method for making admission control where a requested forwarding resource threshold, usually a bit-rate threshold, is set for each link in order to offer assurances on forwarding quality. The level of said threshold is chosen by utilising knowledge about multiplexing properties of the ADFs on each link.

A first embodiment of the invention is a method to estimate multiplexing properties of different ADFs off-line (e.g., through recorded ADFs used in simulations or lab tests, or through modelling), and to use this information to select provisioning levels, also called thresholds, giving appropriate overbooking for links with given forwarding resources. In this embodiment, assumptions on user behaviours and application configurations may be needed to estimate multiplexing properties. E.g., a video codec such as ITU-T H.263 sends different amounts of data depending on how much the picture changes over time.

A second embodiment is a method to measure multiplexing properties of aggregated ADFs on-line, and to use this information to adapt provisioning levels, also called thresholds. The adaptation aims at levels giving appropriate overbooking without any knowledge of individual ADFs' multiplexing properties. Thus, assumptions on user

behaviours and application configurations are not needed in this embodiment. The price for this is the operation of on-line measurements in network nodes.

In both embodiments we assume that a provisioning level is associated with each link in a network offering assurances on forwarding quality. Such provisioning level can e.g. be managed by a network node or by an admission control server.

Moreover, we assume that applications directly or indirectly define a capacity, usually a bit-rate in their admission requests and that the meaning of these bit-rates is known (e.g., they are the peak-rate of each different ADF). Defining bit-rates indirectly means that an application's identity can be detected by some mechanism and that the identity can be mapped to a bit-rate with known meaning.

The two embodiments are presented separately in the following.

The first embodiment: Estimating multiplexing properties of ADFs for provisioning.

In this first embodiment of the invention, we assume that the multiplexing properties of each different ADF can be estimated off-line. Such estimations can e.g. be made by recording a sample of each ADF. Then, these samples can be used in simulations, or in lab tests to estimate maximum numbers of each type of ADF that can share different amounts of common forwarding resources without violating target assurances (e.g., allowed loss-rates or delays). From such simulations or lab tests, appropriate levels of overbooking can be identified for all links having different forwarding resources allocated for the forwarding service in question.

Using the identified levels of overbooking for each type of ADF (i.e., maximum number for each type of ADF), appropriate provisioning levels for all links in the network can be found. E.g., the maximum number of each type of ADF can be multiplied with their (directly or indirectly) respectively requested bit-rates. From the



lowest resulting bit-rate (for all different ADFs), each link can then be provisioned accordingly, which completes the creation of an assured service.

When the mix between different types of ADFs is known, simulations or lab tests can be made using this mix. Thereby, the level of overbooking can be identified more accurately (i.e., otherwise the lowest resulting bit-rate, or level of overbooking among different types of ADFs has to be used for provisioning). This may give higher network utilization compared with the settings resulting from the actions described in the previous paragraph.

To summarise this embodiment; it defines a concept of using different levels of provisioning for links with different forwarding resources and to select these levels to give assurances on forwarding quality to ADFs with known multiplexing properties.

The second embodiment: Measuring multiplexing properties of ADF aggregates for provisioning.

In the second embodiment of the invention, we do not assume any knowledge of individual ADFs' multiplexing properties. Instead, multiplexing properties of traffic (ADF) aggregates are measured and used to adapt provisioning levels for each link in a network. The method for this adaptation includes the following actions:

Each link in the network is initially provisioned. This initial provisioning can, but does not need to be done using the estimation method described for the first embodiment.

The potential advantage from using the estimation method is less frequent measurements and faster adaptation to appropriate provisioning levels compared to arbitrarily selection of levels being very different than the appropriate ones.

Each link has a fixed amount of actual forwarding resources set aside for a particular service. We refer to these resources as allocated resources, and the capacity part of them as allocated capacity. The amount of resources provisioned to match the

2002-12-20

Hovedfagssession

allocated resources is called  $res\_max$  (i.e., the provisioning level). The resources reserved for the actual traffic on the link (act) is called  $res$ . The reserved resources include both forwarding capacity and buffer capacity. However, since the amount of reserved buffer capacity is proportional to the amount of reserved forwarding capacity, we refer to  $res$  as the reserved capacity.

Note that since  $res\_max$  may change over time, another more stable level should be introduced in order to support reservations in advance (e.g., some previous value of  $res\_max$  that has proven through measurements to not give violations in forwarding quality).

Measurements are in this embodiment performed at two different rates. A measurement at a third rate is also possible and sometimes preferable. This is described later. One of these two rates, called the first rate, also referred to as  $act\_max$  can be equal to or slightly lower than the allocated capacity of the link. To have a safety margin it could be preferred to use a first rate which is slightly lower than the allocated capacity of the link. In figs. 2-4  $act\_max$  is shown to be equal to the allocated capacity but it should be understood that the first rate,  $act\_max$  suitably could be a bit lower than the allocated capacity for giving a safety margin. The other rate, called the second rate, can be dependent on the reserved resources on the link and the threshold (i.e., the provisioning level). For example the second rate can be equal to

$$k * (res/res\_max) * act\_max$$

where  $k$  is a constant.

In the following, we refer to the second rate as the second measurement level and to the first rate,  $act\_max$ , as the first measurement level.

Measurements are initiated when the reservation level ( $res$ ) reaches a fraction of  $res\_max$  called  $measure\_th$ . Given a 10 Mbps  $res\_max$  and  $measure\_th$  of 0.8,

measurements will start at a reservation level of 8 Mbps ( $\text{res\_max} * \text{measure\_th}$ ). This is the measurement threshold.

Note that the fraction  $\text{res}/\text{res\_max}$  is an invariant related to the measurement threshold since  $\text{res}$  needs to be equal or larger than this threshold (i.e.,  $\text{res\_max} * \text{measure\_th}$ ) for measurements to be initialized. Note that measurements at the first rate (i.e. at the  $\text{net\_max}$ ) can be made continuously since the rate never changes. Measurements at the second rate need however to be initialised, or re-initialised to reflect changes in the reserved capacity ( $\text{res}$ ).

For assurances of maximum delay and loss-rate, measurements of traffic rates on the link are performed by measuring means. The measuring means could for example be two token bucket (TB) filters (both configured with a depth in number of bytes or bits corresponding to the assured maximum delay and the two different rates to be monitored). By counting network data units (e.g., IP packets) that conform and those that do not conform (i.e., excess data units) to each of these filters, loss-rates can be calculated for the two rates monitored. Other methods for performing the measurements are also possible. For example Time sliding windows could be used.

For the measurements, multiple samples of appropriate length should be taken. E.g., a sample length of two minutes may be feasible in certain network nodes without overloading their CPU (e.g., with operations of matching access lists to two TB filter instances). However, to track peaks in ADF bit-rates caused by changing user behaviours (e.g., a period with intensive discussions and hand waving in a video conference), multiple such samples should be taken to cover a longer period (e.g., 30 minutes). Note that the sample length determines over which time-scale given assurances are valid (i.e., loss-rates may be higher at shorter time-scales than the one used for measurement samples).

If the reservation level changes during the measurement period, there are several ways of handling this situation properly. E.g.:

- Abort the measurement period, and use only the "stable" part.
- Abort the current measurement period, and initiate a new measurement period.
- Continue measuring until the measurement period is finished. This means to change the lower measurement rate according to the new  $res/res\_max$  after each change in reservation level ( $res$ ).
- Continue measuring until the period is finished (i.e., by changing the lower measurement rate after each change in reservation level), or until the reservation level becomes less than the level at which measurements are initiated.
- Block or delay new reservation requests until the measurement period is finished. This method can be used when  $res$  is close to  $max\_res$ .

Each measurement sample can result in three different cases; case 1 - increase the provisioning level, case 2 -- preserve the current provisioning level, and case 3 -- decrease the provisioning level. These cases are described separately in the following three sections. Thereafter, the algorithm is more formally described.

#### Case one: increase the provisioning level

In figure 2 a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link where the used capacity is low and no quality violations exist is shown. For the sake of simplicity we continue here with the example with the link having 10 Mbps allocated. Links with other capacities are of course also possible to use. Say that all data units conform to the 10 Mbps TB filter and that the loss-rate calculated from conforming and excess data units at the 8 Mbps TB filter is less than the assured loss-rate. This indicates that the traffic does not use all reserved forwarding capacity and that  $res\_max$  thus can be increased to allow for more traffic. However, to make such a decision, multiple measurement samples should be examined to limit the risk that true peak-rates are not detected.

The increase of the threshold can be related to the assured loss rate and the actual loss rate. To decide how much to increase the provisioning level, an assumption on the relation between loss-rate and load can be used. This relation can for example be assumed to be linear or exponential. If the relation between loss-rate and load is assumed to be exponential the new provisioning level can suitably be chosen from the old through the following equation:

$$\text{res\_max} = \text{res\_max} * \log \text{assured\_lr} / \log \text{act\_lr} \quad (\text{equation 1})$$

Say that the measured actual loss-rate ( $\text{act\_lr}$ ) is 0.1% and that the assured loss-rate ( $\text{assured\_lr}$ ) is 1%. For this example, equation 1 gives a new provisioning level of 11 Mbps. Note that increasing the level based on measurements at rates lower than what is allocated can be considered conservative. This is because ADFs multiplexes equally or better at larger aggregates. Thus, using the same provisioning level, loss-rates are likely to be equal or lower e.g. for a TB filter monitoring 9 Mbps of reserved capacity compared to for a TB filter monitoring 8 Mbps of reserved capacity.

Note that increasing the provisioning level ( $\text{res\_max}$ ) means to also increase the measurement threshold ( $\text{measure\_th} * \text{res\_max}$ ).

Case two: preserve the current provisioning level

In figure 3 a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link where the used capacity is higher than in figure 2 is shown. Quality violations are only detected at the lower rate measured. If the lower TB filter indicates a loss-rate higher than  $\text{assured\_lr}$ , but the TB filter at  $\text{act\_max}$  indicates a loss-rate lower than  $\text{assured\_lr}$ , the provisioning level ( $\text{res\_max}$ ) should not be adjusted. I.e., the measurements do not give any clear indication on how to adjust the provisioning. To get a clear indication, more resources need to be reserved.



### Case 3: Decrease the provisioning level

In Figure 4 a diagram over the utilised forwarding capacity on a link where the used capacity is high and quality violations are measured at both rates is shown. If both TB filters indicate higher loss-rate than `assured_lr`, the threshold has to be decreased to avoid violating the quality metric. The new provisioning level is calculated using equation 1. Note that this implies to pre-empt accepted ADFs until the provisioning level in question is not exceeded.

To avoid oscillations in provisioning level adjustments, further increases of the level for a link that has once decreased its provisioning level can be prevented. However, even if this is the default behaviour, further threshold increases after a decrease should be possible to enable. Moreover, the operator should be able to reset the state manually in an attempt to retry increasing provisioning levels (e.g., because the multiplexing properties of traffic aggregates are assumed to have changed).

Pre-empting ADFs is not required for the algorithm. Violation of the assured quality can be accepted by allowing exceeding ADFs to keep their reservation and instead block new reservations until the reserved capacity becomes less than the provisioning level. Moreover, given that `act_max`, i.e. the first measurement rate, is slightly lower than the capacity actually allocated for the link in question, measurements at an additional rate can be used to decide whether pre-empting ADFs are needed or not. E.g., a TB filter with a rate in between the actually allocated capacity and `act_max` can be initialised. If this TB filter indicates higher loss-rate than `assured_lr`, pre-empting

ADFs can be considered necessary to avoid true quality violations. On the other hand, an indication of lower loss-rate than `assured_lr` with this TB filter means that ADFs will not see any quality violations and pre-empting ADFs is thus not needed. Hence, we include this feature as an optional part of the algorithm.

#### Algorithm outline

The following pseudo code defines one embodiment of this invention more formally:

15

```

if (res > measure_th * res_max) then initiate measurements;
if (act_lr < assured_lr at both TB filters) then
    res_max = res_max * log assured_lr / log act_lr ;
end
if (act_lr < assured_lr at higher TB filter and act_lr > assured_lr at lower TB filter)
then
    keep provisioning level;
    if (incr_rate is defined) then
        measure_th = incr_rate * measure_th;
        if (measure_th > 1.0) then measure_th = 1.0;
    else
        measure_th = (1.0 + measure_th) / 2.0;
    end
    if (measure_th * res_max > res_max - min_span) then
        measure_th = (res_max - min_span) / res_max ;
    end
end
if (act_lr > assured_lr at both TB filters) then
    res_max = res_max * log assured_lr / log act_lr;
    possibly prevent further increases (default);
end

```

According to the invention a node or a server in a network which comprises software for performing admission control further comprises software for performing the method as described above. Furthermore the node comprises or is connectable to a measuring means adapted to perform the measurements on the links as defined above.

In fig. 5 a node 10 according to the first embodiment of the invention is shown. The node is located somewhere in a network. A client 12 is connectable to the node 10 and requests reservations from the node. Said node comprises admission controlling means 14 adapted to perform admission control in order to offer assurances on forwarding



ENCLOSURE 1

2. 2002.9

18.02.02

16

quality in networks. Said admission controlling means 14 comprises threshold setting means 16 adapted to set a threshold for each link, said threshold defining a maximum sum of forwarding resources requested by applications for their application data flows, ADFs, on the link. According to the invention said threshold setting means 16 is further adapted to utilise knowledge about multiplexing properties of the ADFs on each link and knowledge about forwarding properties of the link when choosing the level of said threshold.

Suitably, the threshold setting means 16 is further adapted to utilise knowledge about the traffic mix of different ADFs on each link when choosing the levels of said thresholds.

In this first embodiment of the invention the admission controlling means 14 comprises estimating means 18 connected to the threshold setting means 16. Said estimating means 18 is adapted to retrieve results from preparatory tests of recorded samples of ADFs expected on a link and estimate multiplexing properties of these ADFs off-line. Preparatory tests could as discussed above be lab tests or simulations on the different ADFs. Said estimating means 18 is further adapted to forward the estimation to the threshold setting means 16, which is adapted to use the estimation for choosing the level of said threshold.

The estimating means 18 can further be adapted to use assumptions on user behaviour and application configurations for the estimation. Hereby the estimation can be better.

In fig. 6 a node 10' according to the second embodiment of the invention is shown. A client 12' is connectable to the node 10' and requests reservations from the node. Said node comprises admission controlling means 14' adapted to perform admission control in order to offer assurances on forwarding quality in networks. Said admission controlling means 14' comprises threshold setting means 16' adapted to set a threshold for each link, said threshold defining a maximum sum of forwarding resources requested by applications for their application data flows, ADFs, on the link.

2002-12-20

Hofmann, Klaus

According to the invention said threshold setting means 16' is further adapted to utilise knowledge about multiplexing properties of the ADFs on each link and knowledge about forwarding properties of the link when choosing the level of said threshold.

Suitably, the threshold setting means 16' is further adapted to utilise knowledge about the traffic mix of different ADFs on each link when choosing the levels of said thresholds.

In this second embodiment the threshold setting means 16' is adapted to set an initial threshold for each link. This initial threshold could be arbitrarily selected or estimated according to what has been described in relation to the first embodiment. Furthermore the admission controlling means 14' comprises a measurement requesting means 20, which is connected to the threshold setting means 16' and adapted to retrieve measurements from a measuring means 22 in a node in the network. It could be in another node or in the same node as the admission controlling means. The measuring means 22 is adapted to repeatedly, during usage, measure multiplexing properties of aggregated ADFs online on each link and these measurements are thus forwarded to the measurement requesting means in the admission control means 14'. The threshold setting means 16' is then adapted to use these measurements to dynamically adapt the thresholds to the measurements during usage.

The measuring means 22 performs measurements at at least two different rates, one measurement at a first rate, which is equal to or lower than the amount of allocated resources on the link and one measurement at a second rate, which is lower than the first rate. The second rate can be dependent on the reserved resources on the link and the threshold as described above. To be able to recognise an overload in time and in order to have a safety margin it can be preferable to measure at a first rate which is slightly lower than the amount of allocated resources on the link.

The threshold setting means 16' is adapted to

- increase the threshold when both the measurement at the first and second rates indicate lower loss-rates than what is assured;
- decrease the threshold when both the measurement at the first and second rate indicate higher loss-rates than what is assured; and
- maintaining the threshold when the measurement at the second rate indicates higher loss-rate than assured and the measurement at the first rate indicates lower loss-rate than assured.

The measurement requesting means 20 comprises suitably, but not necessarily a measurement threshold means 24 adapted to define a level of resource reservations on the link above which the measurements should be requested. I.e. a measurement threshold is set and when the level of reservations rises above this measurement threshold measurements are requested to be done in the measuring means 22.

In one embodiment the measurement threshold means 24 is adapted to increase the measurement threshold in steps when the measurement at the second rate indicates higher loss-rate than assured and the measurement at the first rate indicates lower loss-rate than assured. However, the measurement threshold should never be increased over a predefined maximum level which is set to be equal to or lower than the level of allocated resources of the link. The measurement threshold could also be increased manually.

In one embodiment of the invention the measuring means 22 is adapted to measure at a third rate, which is higher than the first rate but equal to or lower than the level of allocated resources on the link, when the measurement at the first rate indicates a higher loss rate than assured. The loss rate measured at this third rate is indicative of if it is necessary to pre-empt ADFs from the link or if it is enough to prevent new ADFs from entering the link.

The main merits of the invention are that it allow for services assuring forwarding quality and high network utilization through statistical multiplexing without requiring

7902 -12- 2 0

19

Huvudfaxen Kassar

processing intensive measurement in network nodes. The first embodiment does not require any measurements at all. The second embodiment requires periods of measurements invoked for individual links when critical amounts of forwarding capacity is reserved. Since these periods are invoked only when measurement are needed to try adjusting an admission threshold, the measurement overhead is limited.

However, measurements are made by network nodes facing high load. Thus, the burden on network nodes caused by measurements can still be a problem. To avoid overloading network nodes, the CPU load can preferably be checked before initialising measurements. Note, though, that measurements are made on coarser time-scales than measurements for creation of statistically guaranteed forwarding quality.

The selection of which embodiment to use (i.e., to estimate multiplexing properties of ADF's for service provisioning, or to measure multiplexing properties of traffic aggregates for provisioning) is a trade-off between having no measurement overhead but lower network utilization, and having a measurement overhead but higher network utilization. Also, with the second embodiment, time consuming estimations of ADF's' multiplexing properties through simulations, lab tests, or modelling is avoided. However, as mentioned above, such estimations can give less frequent measurements and faster adaptation to appropriate thresholds.

The method according to the invention is implemented by means of a computer program product comprising the software code means for performing the steps of the method. The computer program product is run on a computer placed in the gateway domain and implements a certificate handling entity within the digital signature system. The computer program is loaded directly or from a computer usable medium, such as a floppy disc, a CD, the Internet etc.

The present invention is not limited to the above-described preferred embodiments. Various alternatives, modifications and equivalents may be used. Therefore, the above

embodiments should not be taken as limiting the scope of the invention, which is  
defined by the appending claims.

018 568939

## PATENTKRAV

Huvudföreläggningen

1. A method for performing admission control in order to offer assurances on forwarding quality in networks comprising setting a threshold for each link where said threshold defines a maximum sum of forwarding resources requested by applications for their application data flows, ADFs, on the link, characterised by choosing the level of said threshold by utilising knowledge about multiplexing properties of the ADFs on each link and by utilising knowledge about the forwarding resources of the links.
2. A method according to claim 1, characterised by the further step of utilising knowledge about the traffic mix of different ADFs on each link when choosing the levels of said thresholds.
3. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, characterised by estimating multiplexing properties of different ADFs off-line, said estimation being based on results from preparatory tests of recorded samples of ADFs, which are expected on a link and use this estimation when choosing the level of said threshold.
4. A method according to claim 3, characterised by further using assumptions on user behaviour and application configurations for the estimation.
5. A method according to any one of the claims 1-2, characterised by setting an initial threshold for each link and repeatedly, during usage, measuring multiplexing properties of aggregated ADFs online on each link and use these measurements to dynamically adapt said thresholds during usage.
6. A method according to claim 5, characterised by choosing the initial threshold in the same way as the threshold is chosen in any one of the claims 3-4.

7. A method according to claim 5 or 6, characterised by performing the measurements at at least two different rates.
8. A method according to claim 7, characterised by measuring at a first rate, which is equal to or lower than the amount of allocated resources on the link and measuring at a second rate, which is lower than the first rate
9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the second rate is dependent on the reserved resources on the link and the threshold.
10. A method according to any one of the claims 7-9, characterised by
  - increasing the threshold when both the measurement at the first and second rates indicate lower loss-rates than what is assured;
  - decreasing the threshold when both the measurement at the first and second rates indicate higher loss-rates than what is assured; and
  - maintaining the threshold when the measurement at the second rate indicates higher loss-rate than assured and the measurement at the first rate indicates lower loss-rate than assured.
11. A method according to any one of the claims 5-10, characterised by introducing a measurement threshold, which defines a level of forwarding capacity reservations on the link above which the measurements are initiated.
12. A method according to claim 11, characterised by increasing the measurement threshold in steps but not over a predefined maximum level which is lower than the level of allocated resources of the link when the measurement at the second rate indicates higher loss-rate than assured and the measurement at the first rate indicates lower loss-rate than assured.
13. A method according to claim 8 and any one of the claims 5-12, characterised by measuring at a third rate, which is higher than the first rate but equal to or

lower than the allocated resources of the link when the measurement at the first rate indicates a higher loss rate than assured, the loss rate measured at the third rate being indicative of if it is necessary to pre-empt ADFs from the link or if it is enough to prevent new ADFs from entering the link.

14. A node in a network comprising software for performing admission control in order to offer assurances on forwarding quality in networks and software for setting a threshold for each link, said threshold defining a maximum sum of forwarding resources requested by applications for their application data flows, ADFs, on the link, characterised in that said node further comprises software for performing the method in any one of the claims 1-13.
15. A node in a network according to claim 14, characterised in that it comprises or is connectable to a measuring means adapted to perform the measurements on the links as defined in any one of the claims 5-13.
16. A computer program product directly loadable into the internal memory of a processing means within a computer placed in a wireless public network gateway domain, comprising the software code means for performing the steps of any of the claims 1-13.
17. A computer program product stored on a computer usable medium, comprising readable program for causing a processing means in a computer placed in the gateway domain, to control an execution of the steps of any of the claims 1-13.



**ABSTRACT**

A method for performing admission control in order to offer assurances on forwarding quality in networks comprising setting a threshold for each link where said threshold defines a maximum sum of forwarding resources requested by applications for their application data flows, ADFs, on the link.

According to the invention the level of said threshold is chosen by utilising knowledge about multiplexing properties of the ADFs on each link and by utilising knowledge about the forwarding resources of the links.

(Fig. 2)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Ink t. Patent- och medvetet

2002-12-20

Huvudföreläsning

1/3




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

Ink. t. Patent- och rekryterings

2002-12-20

Huvudförman K. Sten

2/3




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

3/3




Fig. 5




Fig. 6